

Personnel Management

Present system highly decentralized

Why?

1. Differences in Directorates--

Not really--all have overseas activities--3 of them sizeable



2. Impression that gives DD control over his people

Does it?

Promotions--yes

Assignments--yes

Policy planning--no

Assignments should stay

Promotions must be standardized for one Agency and
for equity--DDs must rely on leadership more

Evidence is leadership of personnel is poor

Policy must be Agency-wide for one Agency

Evidence is that system has not worked on promotional
and recruiting policies--hills & valleys--(never will
under DDs)

3. What is DCI's role?

As envisaged by DDs?

Retirement support, etc.?

As envisaged by DCI

1. Recruit right #s.
2. Set Agency balance on accession, promotion, retirement and RIF
3. Set standards of performance
4. Set security standards
5. Ensure equity for employees

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/04/13 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003200070021-1

Approved For Release 2005/04/13 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003200070021-1

Data Needed for EC Discussions on NAPA

1. # panels by Career Service

Panel groupings

Panel membership

What data do panels receive?

What do panels report? Promotions? Rank ordering?

2. # personnel overseas by Career Service

3. What is a Career Service Board?

All have?

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/04/13 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003200070021-1

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2005/04/13 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003200070021-1